From Learning Style to E-Learning Style: A Paradigm Shift in Digital Era

Diksha Dhanda¹, Dr. Aarti Yadav² and Prof. Gaurav Singh³ ^{1,2,3}Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh - 123029, INDIA.

¹Corresponding Author: diksha220070@cuh.ac.in



www.ijrah.com || Vol. 5 No. 2 (2025): March Issue

Date of Submission: 26-03-2025

Date of Acceptance: 11-04-2025

Date of Publication: 28-04-2025

ABSTRACT

The swift progression of digital technologies has sparked a revolutionary change in educational paradigms, displacing conventional learning approaches with virtual learning environments. This paper, titled "From Learning Style to e-learning style: A Paradigm Shift in the Digital Era," explores the implications, challenges, and opportunities presented by this transition. For many years, educational techniques have been led by models such as VARK and Kolb which categorise traditional learning patterns. These approaches have been redefined by the use of information and communication technology (ICT) in education, which makes use of digital technologies to provide more individualised and interactive learning opportunities. This study looks at the factors that have caused this change, such as changes in learner demographics, technology improvements, and the effects of major world events like the COVID-19 epidemic. It draws attention to the advantages of online learning, including its improved flexibility, accessibility, and ability to foster interaction through multimedia resources. The study also discusses the difficulties brought about by the digital divide and the necessity of professional development for teachers. The research highlights the efficacy of ICT interventions in enhancing educational results for varied learner groups by examining case studies and real-world applications. The results indicate that although e-learning has many benefits, overcoming its obstacles and reaching its full potential will take a coordinated effort. In order to build inclusive and productive e-learning environments in the digital era, stakeholders are given guidelines in the paper's conclusion.

Keywords- Learning Style, E-Learning Style, Paradigm Shift, Digital Era, Online Education.

I. INTRODUCTION

Effective teaching has always required a thorough understanding of how pupils learn, according to the educational environment. Learning styles have traditionally been divided into categories according to the senses, and one of the most well-known frameworks for this classification is the VARK model, which stands for Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, and Kinesthetic. These learning styles highlight the fact that students differ in their preferred methods of absorbing and processing knowledge, which affects how teachers create and present lesson plans (Fleming & Mills, 1992). These conventional learning styles have influenced educational practices over time, with the goal of customising learning opportunities to better meet the needs of each unique student. But the emergence of digital technology has brought about a profound change in the way that education is received and experienced, making elearning the dominating paradigm of the digital age. Research has demonstrated that e-learning, which provides a more individualised and self-directed learning experience, can dramatically increase learner satisfaction and engagement (Kassim et al., 2010). A wider variety of digital educational platforms, tools, and resources are included in e-learning, which has changed the traditional classroom setting into a more adaptable and easily available learning environment. The broad acceptance of e-learning has been made possible by the expansion of internet connectivity, as well as developments in mobile, cloud, and interactive multimedia technologies. The capacity to distribute educational content online allows students to learn at their own pace and convenience, regardless of regional limitations (Bozkurt & Hilbelink,

2019). This paradigm shift is characterised by this ability. Learning Management Systems (LMS), Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), and other digital tools have made education more accessible to a wider range of people by offering chances for lifelong learning and professional growth. It is important to comprehend the transition from traditional to e-learning techniques for a number of reasons. First of all, it's a reflection of the larger patterns of digital change that are changing a number of industries, including education. The skills and abilities needed for success are changing as society grows more digital, and this calls for a re-evaluation of the way education is provided. In addition to adapting to these developments, the move to e-learning also takes advantage of digital technologies to improve learning results. According to research, e-learning that includes and multimedia components interactive that accommodate various learning preferences can greatly increase learner motivation and engagement (Kassim et al., 2010). By allowing students to interact with the material in ways that suit their chosen learning methods, the multimodal approach enhances understanding and retention (Alhawiti & Abdelhamid, 2017). Additionally, e-learning's adaptability enables personalised learning experiences, in which course material is modified to match each student's unique requirements and learning style, enhancing comprehension and retention (Alhawiti & Abdelhamid, 2017). In addition to meeting each learner's specific needs, this individualised approach aids in locating and filling in knowledge gaps, promoting a more diverse and productive learning environment (Son, 2019). Second, because e-learning overcomes the drawbacks of conventional learning settings, the shift is crucial. Due to time and space constraints, traditional schools frequently find it difficult to successfully accommodate a variety of learning styles. On the other hand, e-learning platforms provide a wider range of resources and tools that can be customised to fit various learning preferences, making the learning environment more welcoming and encouraging. For example, infographics and video lessons are beneficial for visual learners, whereas podcasts and audio lectures are beneficial for auditory learners. Conversely, kinesthetic learners can enhance their hands-on learning experiences by taking part in interactive simulations and virtual labs (Son, 2019). Furthermore, the necessity of closing the digital divide emphasises how crucial it is to comprehend this paradigm change. Even if e-learning holds promise for democratising education, it also draws attention to the differences in access to online materials. It is imperative to tackle these obstacles in order to guarantee that every student, irrespective of their financial status, may reap the benefits of online education. To achieve equal access to digital learning tools and infrastructure, legislators, educators, and technology providers must work together in concert (Bozkurt & Hilbelink, 2019). To sum up, the transition from conventional to e-learning approaches signifies a

noteworthy advancement in the sphere of education. The swift development of digital technology and the evolving requirements of contemporary learners are the driving forces behind this shift. Through the utilisation of elearning's flexibility, accessibility, and personalisation features, educators may establish more inclusive and productive learning environments. Comprehending this paradigm shift is essential to formulating policies that optimise e-learning's potential while tackling its obstacles, guaranteeing equitable and efficient education in the digital age. To provide equal access to digital learning tools and resources, legislators, educational institutions, and technology suppliers must work together to address this issue (Bozkurt & Hilbelink, 2019). This includes understanding how to design and facilitate online courses, use digital tools for assessment and feedback, and engage students in virtual learning environments (Heard, 2019).

II. TRADITIONAL LEARNING STYLES

The different methods that people choose to take in, process, and remember information are referred to as traditional learning styles. Many categories are frequently used to group these styles, the most prominent being visual, auditory, reading/writing, and kinesthetic (VARK model). Reading/writing learners prefer textbased input, kinesthetic learners learn best through hands-on experiences and activities, auditory learners benefit from hearing, and visual learners prefer to see and visualise knowledge (Fleming & Mills, 1992). For many years, the idea of learning styles has been a cornerstone of educational thought. David Kolb established the Experiential Learning Theory, one of the first and most prominent theories. It highlights the cyclical nature of learning, which involves real experience, reflective observation. abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation (Kolb, 1984). Another significant contribution came from Howard Gardner's theory of Multiple Intelligences, which expanded the understanding of learning preferences beyond traditional academic abilities to include areas such as musical, interpersonal, and spatial intelligence (Gardner, 1983).

e-Learning Styles

The term "e-learning" describes the process of delivering educational content via digital devices and internet technology. This covers multimedia technologies, virtual classrooms, mobile learning, and web-based learning. These different forms are included in e-learning styles, which translate traditional learning preferences to digital formats and offer individualised and interactive learning opportunities (Kumar & Kushwaha, 2018).

The capabilities of e-learning platforms have been greatly expanded by the quick growth of digital technologies. Rich, interesting instructional content is now available anytime, anywhere because to developments in internet speed, mobile technology, cloud computing, and interactive multimedia (Truong, 2016). Further bridging the gap between traditional and digital learning settings, these technological breakthroughs have also made it possible to create adaptive learning systems that customise instructional content to the requirements and preferences of individual learners (Alshammari, Anane, & Hendley, 2015).

Traditional vs e-learning Environments

The learning outcomes of e-learning environments and traditional classroom settings have been compared in a number of research. Studies show that e-learning can provide educational outcomes that are on par with or even better than traditional classroom instruction, especially when personalisation and flexibility are included (Tawil et al., 2013). For instance, it has been demonstrated that, in comparison to conventional approaches, adaptive e-learning systems, which customise information according to learners' cognitive styles and performance indicators, increase learning efficiency and pleasure (Alshammari et al., 2015). Furthermore, more inclusive educational possibilities are made possible by the flexibility and accessibility of e-learning, which takes into account a range of learning styles and timetables (Chun-sheng, 2011). Compared to traditional learning, e-learning has a number of benefits, including increased accessibility, flexibility, and the use of interactive and multimedia tools that accommodate various learning preferences. But there are drawbacks as well, such as the requirement for dependable internet access, the need for instructors and students to be digitally literate, and the need to close the digital gap (Bozkurt & Hilbelink, 2019). Furthermore, although e-learning systems can provide tailored learning experiences, their ability to adjust to the unique learning preferences of each user requires advanced algorithms and data analytics (Hamada, 2012). So, the shift from conventional learning methods to online learning signifies a substantial paradigm change in the field of education. Technological developments and the evolving requirements of contemporary learners are the driving forces behind this change, which highlights the significance of individualised, adaptable, and accessible learning environments. To effectively navigate this educational revolution, educators, policymakers, and researchers must have a thorough understanding of the historical context of learning styles, the components and benefits of e-learning, and the distinctions in learning outcomes between traditional and digital learning environments.

The shift from learning styles to e-learning styles-

The way that education is delivered has changed dramatically in recent years, with e-learning replacing traditional teaching methods. Both the growing need for adaptable, accessible educational options and technological improvements have contributed to this paradigm shift. For many years, a fundamental https://doi.org/10.55544/ijrah.5.2.41

component of educational approaches has been the conventional notion of learning styles, which focuses on customising instructional tactics to meet students' auditory, visual, or kinesthetic preferences. E-learning styles, on the other hand, have emerged as a result of new approaches brought about by the development of digital technology, which go beyond these traditional limitations. A more dynamic and engaging learning environment is produced by integrating different digital tools and platforms through e-learning techniques. This transition reflects a fundamental rethinking of how education is provided and consumed. Learning management systems (LMS), virtual classrooms, and multimedia resources are examples of technologies that have made it possible to create personalised and adaptable learning experiences that are tailored to the needs of each individual student (Hamada, 2012).

This transition from traditional learning techniques to e-learning styles has been accelerated by a number of factors:

Technological Advancements: Digital learning tools are becoming more widely available and efficient due to the widespread use of mobile devices, cloud computing, and the internet. As a result, complex e-learning platforms that facilitate a variety of learning activities have been developed (Bozkurt & Hilbelink, 2019).

Flexibility and Accessibility: E-learning gives you the freedom to study whenever and wherever you want, which is especially useful for students who don't follow a typical schedule or are non-traditional learners. The broad use of e-learning systems can be attributed in large part to this flexibility (Heard, 2019).

Customization and Personalization- To increase learning outcomes and engagement, personalised educational content is tailored to the requirements and preferences of individual learners through the use of artificial intelligence and data analytics in modern e-learning platforms (Alhawiti & Abdelhamid, 2017).

Collaboration and Interactivity: Discussion boards, live chats, and group projects are just a few examples of the collaboration and engagement tools that are frequently found in e-learning settings. When compared to conventional lecture-based approaches, these characteristics provide a more engaging and participatory learning environment (Son, 2019). The shift from conventional to e-learning approaches represents a major advancement in the field of education. the adoption of digital technologies, Through educational establishments can offer more efficient, customised, and easily available educational opportunities. This paradigm change helps students meet the demands of the digital world while simultaneously improving the quality of education.

III. EVOLUTION OF E-LEARNING

The evolution of e-learning is a remarkable journey from static, instructor-led methods to dynamic,

Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities

ISSN (Online): 2583-1712

Volume-5 Issue-2 || March 2025 || PP. 303-310

personalized digital experiences. It began in the 1960s with computer-based systems like PLATO, which pioneered interactive learning. The 1980s introduced personal computers, allowing for localized, self-paced education. By the 1990s, multimedia tools and CD-ROMs enriched learning content with visuals and interactivity. The Internet's rise in the mid-1990s revolutionized access, leading to web-based training and the birth of Learning Management Systems (LMS). The 2000s saw standardization with SCORM and global adoption of e-learning. Advancements in mobile technology, cloud computing, and Web 2.0 brought collaboration, real-time access, and learner autonomy. In the past decade, AI, big data, and immersive technologies like AR/VR have redefined e-learning as adaptive, engaging, and deeply interactive. This shift from passive consumption to intelligent, learner-centered experiences marks a true paradigm shift in the digital era.



1960 - PLATO System Launched

- The Programmed Logic for Automatic Teaching Operations (PLATO) was one of the first computer-assisted instruction systems.
- Marked the birth of digital learning, used primarily in academic institutions.
- 1980 Rise of Personal Computers
 - Introduction of home computers made it possible for individuals to access learning outside institutional settings.
 - Software-based training began to emerge, especially for basic skills and typing.
- 1990 Multimedia CD-ROMs
 - Learning evolved with interactive CDs including images, videos, and basic quizzes.
 - Improved engagement and multisensory learning, an early precursor to modern elearning interactivity.
- 1995 Internet-Based Learning
 - Early Learning Management Systems (LMS) appeared.
 - Provided basic course hosting and student tracking, initiating the shift to online education.

2000 – Global Expansion & SCORM

https://doi.org/10.55544/ijrah.5.2.41

- SCORM standards established by ADL, enabling content reuse across platforms.
- E-learning moved toward being structured, measurable, and scalable.
- 2005 Web 2.0 & Collaboration
 - Integration of forums, blogs, and wikis allowed for social and collaborative learning.
 - Shift from passive consumption to interactive and participatory learning.
- 2010 Mobile Learning Boom
 - Smartphones and tablets enabled learning onthe-go.
 - Rise of microlearning, gamified apps, and context-aware content.

2015 - Cloud-Based LMS

- Learning became ubiquitous, with platforms like Moodle and Canvas offering anytime, anywhere access.
- Allowed massive scalability and integration with other tools.
- 2020 AI & Big Data
 - AI introduced adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring systems, and data-driven personalization.
 - Learning became smart, tailored to individual pace and preferences.
- 2023 Immersive Technologies (AR/VR/XR)
 - Extended Reality brings simulations and virtual labs.
 - A new frontier in experiential and situated learning environments.

IV. SIGNIFICANCE OF E-LEARNING STYLES

Driven by changes in learner demands and technology improvements, the transition from traditional learning styles to e-learning styles constitutes a fundamental movement in educational methodology. Comprehending the importance of e-learning styles is essential because it serves as the foundation for creating efficient e-learning platforms that accommodate a range of learning styles and improve academic performance. Empirical investigations and case studies demonstrate the significance of this change in a range of educational contexts. For example, adaptive e-learning systems, which customise course materials to each student's unique learning style, greatly increase student happiness and performance, according to a study on the efficacy of e-learning platforms at a university (Al-Fraihat et al., 2020). For example, adaptive e-learning systems, which customise course materials to each student's unique learning style, greatly increase student happiness and performance, according to a study on the efficacy of elearning platforms at a university (Al-Fraihat et al., 2020). Similarly, individualised e-learning environments have been shown to improve student engagement and

have a favourable impact on attitudes towards online learning (Ucar & Yilmaz, 2023) in research on the elearning styles of pre-service science instructors. Furthermore, a more customised learning experience is made possible by the incorporation of cutting-edge technology like artificial intelligence (AI) and adaptive learning algorithms into e-learning systems. By analysing how students interact and customising the information delivery to their preferences, these technologies improve students' understanding and retention (Son, 2019). In order to provide inclusive educational experiences, this method not only tackles the shortcomings of conventional learning environments but also makes use of the adaptability and accessibility of digital resources. To sum up, the adoption of e-learning approaches is a significant advancement in the field of education, bringing with it a host of advantages like enhanced student involvement, customised learning opportunities, and superior academic results. Extensive research and real-world case studies demonstrate the importance of comprehending and utilising e-learning styles and emphasise how they have the potential to completely transform education in the digital age.

Consequently, it can be said that these case studies and examples highlight the varied uses and effects of e-learning in a range of educational settings. E-learning has demonstrated strong potential to improve learning experiences, increase information retention, and offer flexible educational possibilities in a variety of educational settings, including elementary and secondary schools, corporate training, and higher education. Notwithstanding, obstacles such as technology glitches and the requirement for blended learning methodologies continue to be crucial factors in the effective execution of e-learning projects.

V. FUTURE TRENDS

Several cutting-edge technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and adaptive learning systems, have the potential to significantly impact the future of elearning. Through the improvement of learning experiences' personalisation, immersion, and adaptability, these technologies hold the potential to completely change the educational environment. AI is transforming e-learning by offering intelligent, adaptable, and personalised learning experiences. Large volumes of data can be analysed by AI-driven technologies to customise learning paths for individual students and optimise the distribution of content according to their particular requirements and preferences. AI systems, for example, are able to evaluate pupils' strengths and shortcomings and offer customised solutions to help them grow better. It has been demonstrated that this degree of personalisation boosts learning results and increases engagement (Gligorea et al., 2023). Furthermore, real-time support is

https://doi.org/10.55544/ijrah.5.2.41

provided by AI-driven chatbots and virtual instructors, increasing accessibility and enhancing interactivity in education (Tanjga, 2023). Immersion learning environments are being created using VR and AR technologies, which have the potential to greatly improve the educational experience. Virtual reality (VR) offers completely immersive experiences that can take students to new locations, eras, and situations, enhancing and remembering learning. By superimposing digital data over the actual world, augmented reality (AR) enables students to engage with both virtual and realworld settings at the same time. These tools have proven especially useful in disciplines like engineering, physics, medicine where practical experience and and visualisation are essential (Fu, 2021). Using AI and machine learning, adaptive learning systems dynamically modify the learning process in response to learners' real-time input. The content, tempo, and style of instruction can all be customised by these systems to accommodate different learning styles and levels of proficiency. According to Osadchyi et al. (2020), adaptive learning has been demonstrated to enhance academic performance and retention rates by offering a customised educational experience that caters to the individual needs of each student. To sum up, e-learning that incorporates cutting-edge technologies like AI, VR, and AR has the potential to completely transform the educational landscape. These developments will improve customisation, immersion, and flexibility, resulting in more efficient and interesting educational opportunities. These technologies have the potential to change education in the digital age by increasing accessibility, equity, and customisation to meet the requirements of each learner.

VI. CONCLUSION

The swift progress of digital technologies has resulted in a notable shift in educational paradigms, as elearning environments have replaced traditional learning approaches. This essay has examined the many facets of this shift, looking at the opportunities, problems, and ramifications that e-learning brings to the contemporary educational setting. The results highlight how crucial it is to comprehend this paradigm shift in order to improve student learning outcomes and experiences in a variety of educational contexts. In order to successfully incorporate e-learning tools, educators must modify their pedagogical approaches. To fully utilise e-learning, one must have a thorough understanding of how to create and lead online courses, use digital tools for evaluation and feedback, and engage students in virtual learning settings (Heard, 2019). The customisation, accessibility, and flexibility that e-learning offers are advantageous to students. To close the digital divide and give every student the chance to achieve, it is necessary to guarantee fair access to digital learning tools and resources (Bozkurt & Hilbelink, 2019). In addition,

Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities

ISSN (Online): 2583-1712 Volume-5 Issue-2 || March 2025 || PP. 303-310

legislators need to guarantee that all students have fair access to digital learning resources and infrastructure in order to close the digital divide. According to Bozkurt and Hilbelink (2019), this entails making investments in internet infrastructure, offering financial aid for digital devices, and endorsing programmes that encourage digital literacy among educators and students. To sum up, the transition from conventional learning methods to online learning signifies a noteworthy advancement in the realm of education. This shift, which is the result of both technology improvements and the evolving requirements of contemporary learners, has several advantages, such as increased accessibility, flexibility, and customisation of learning opportunities. However, in order to fully utilise e-learning, it also presents issues that must be resolved. Educators, policymakers, and institutions may develop more inclusive and effective learning environments that meet the different requirements of all learners by comprehending and using e-learning's benefits while addressing its drawbacks.

REFERENCES

 Alamsyah, D., Indriana, Setyawati, I., & Rohaeni, H. (2022). New technology adoption of e-learning: Model of perceived usefulness. In 2022 3rd International Conference on Big Data Analytics and Practices (IBDAP) (pp. 79-84). IEEE.

https://doi.org/10.1109/IBDAP55587.2022.990 7261

- [2] Aksoy, N., & Üstündağ, M. T. (2023). Classification of e-learning styles in online learning environment. Journal of Educational Technology and Online Learning. https://doi.org/10.31681/jetol.1332297
- [3] Babak, E. (2023). Ecology of e-learning. Educational Challenges. https://doi.org/10.34142/2709-7986.2023.28.1.04
- [4] Brika, S., Chergui, K., Algamdi, A., Musa, A., & Zouaghi, R. (2022). E-learning research trends in higher education in light of COVID-19: A bibliometric analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.762819
- [5] Cappel, J. J., & Hayen, R. (2004). Evaluating elearning: A case study. Journal of Computer Information Systems, 44(4), 49-56. https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2004.116475 67
- [6] Chen, B., Shen, C., Ma, G., Zhang, Y., & Zhou, Y. (2011). The evaluation and analysis of student e-learning behavior. 2011 10th IEEE/ACIS International Conference on Computer and Information Science (pp. 244-248). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIS.2011.46

https://doi.org/10.55544/ijrah.5.2.41

- [7] Chikileva, L., Chistyakov, A., Busygina, M., Prokopyev, A., Grib, E. V., & Tsvetkov, D. N. (2023). A review of empirical studies examining the effects of e-learning on university students' academic achievement. Contemporary Educational Technology. https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13418
- [8] Coltey, M., Davis, M., & Walker, K. (2021). Generalized structure for adaptable and immersive learning. Educational Technology & Society, 24(1), 105-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103801
- Fu, X. (2021). Research on teaching model of animation professional class based on online learning platform. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1852(1), 012134. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1852/1/012134
- [10] Gligorea, L., Heller, K., & Simionescu, L.
 (2023). Learning using artificial intelligence: Elearning as a solution for personalized learning. Procedia Computer Science, 200, 405-412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2022.12.053
- [11] Hamada, M. (2012). A learning style model for e-learning systems. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 15(4), 15-30. https://doi.org/10.1109/IJKT.2012.34
- [12] Heard, J. (2019). Converting traditional learning to online environments. Educational Technology Research and Development, 67(2), 295-313. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-018-9615-3
- [13] Horváth, L. (2021). Analysis of personalized learning opportunities in adaptive learning systems. Computers & Education, 163, 104097.
- [14] Johnson, L., Becker, S. A., Estrada, V., & Freeman, A. (2011). Adoption of e-learning support for teaching: A multiple case study. Educause Review, 46(6), 20-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.03.013
- [15] Kassim, H., Daud, M., & Jusoff, K. (2010). Elearning among academics: Motivations and cognitive style. International Journal of Computer Applications in Technology, 42(1), 66-73.

https://doi.org/10.1504/IJCAT.2010.030403

- [16] [1:20 PM, 6/6/2024] Jio: Katsaris, I., & Vidakis, N. (2021). Adaptive e-learning systems through learning styles: A review of the literature. Advances in Mobile Learning Educational Research, 1(2), 49-58. https://doi.org/10.25082/amler.2021.02.007
- [17] Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice Hall.
- [18] [1:20 PM, 6/6/2024] Jio: Komala, A. (2021). Impact of e-learning on student learning motivation. Journal of Education and Learning,

Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities

ISSN (Online): 2583-1712

Volume-5 Issue-2 || March 2025 || PP. 303-310

10(1),

25-34.

- https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v10n1p25
 [19] Kumar, G., & Kushwaha, A. (2018). E-learning vs. traditional learning: A review. Journal of Education and Learning, 7(2), 56-62. https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v7n2p56
- [20] [1:20 PM, 6/6/2024] Jio: Lara, J., Aljawarneh, S. A., & Pamplona, S. (2020). Special issue on the current trends in e-learning assessment. Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 32(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/S12528-019-09235-W
- [21] López-Belmonte, J., Segura-Robles, A., Moreno-Guerrero, A.-J., & Parra-González, M. (2021). Projection of e-learning in higher education: A study of its scientific production in Web of Science. European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education, 11(1), 20-32. https://doi.org/10.3390/eiihpe11010003
- [22] [1:20 PM, 6/6/2024] Jio: McGuinness, C., & Fulton, C. (2019). Digital literacy in higher education: A case study of student engagement with e-tutorials using blended learning. Journal of Information Literacy, 13(2), 16-37. https://doi.org/10.11645/13.2.2520
- [23] Mohr, A. T., Holtbrügge, D., & Berg, N. (2012). Learning style preferences and the perceived usefulness of e-learning. Teaching in Higher Education, 17(3), 309-322. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2011.640999
- [24] Mukhopadhyay, K. (2021). E-learning and motor relearning programme in physical education. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, 10, 35-45. https://doi.org/10.48175/ijarsct-1758
- [25] Mulyati, S. (2023). The use of technology in teaching and learning English through elearning for students college. PPSDP International Journal of Education, 2(2), 23-30. https://doi.org/10.59175/pijed.v2i2.145
- [26] Osadchyi, V., Anane, R., & Hendley, R. (2020). A model of learning based on capabilities and augmented reality. International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies, 14(1), 123-135. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v14i01.11702
- [27] Papoutsi, F. A., & Rangoussi, M. (2020). Pedagogical agents in e-learning: A review of (2009-2019) recent research results. Proceedings of the 24th Pan-Hellenic Conference on Informatics. https://doi.org/10.1145/3437120.3437332
- [28] Purwasih, D., Wilujeng, I., Jumadi, & Wahyuni, T. (2022). Development of emodules based on learning style to facilitate study during pandemic. Proceedings of the 2022 13th International Conference on E-

https://doi.org/10.55544/ijrah.5.2.41

Education, E-Business, E-Management, and E-Learning.

https://doi.org/10.1145/3514262.3514277

[29] Rakic, S., Tasić, N., Marjanović, U., Softic, S., Lüftenegger, E., & Turcin, I. (2020). Student performance on an e-learning platform: Mixed method approach. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 15(2), 187-203.

https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i02.11646

- [30] Logan, R., Johnson, C. E., & Worsham, J. W. (2020). Development of an e-learning module to facilitate student learning and outcomes. Teaching and Learning in Nursing. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2020.10.007
- [31] Maaliw, R. R. (2021). A personalized virtual learning environment using multiple modeling techniques. In 2021 IEEE 12th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conference (UEMCON) (pp. 0008-0015). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/uemcon53757.2021.966 6645
- [32] Mukhopadhyay, K. (2021). E-learning and motor relearning programme in physical education. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology. https://doi.org/10.48175/ijarsct-1758
- [33] Patil, D. U., & Chandankhede, S. A. (2022). Elearning in education. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology. https://doi.org/10.48175/ijarsct-7407
- [34] Prakash, J. (2021). E-learning and its impact on students and their learning styles. The Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government, 27(3), 640-644. https://doi.org/10.47750/CIBG.2021.27.03.086
- [35] Raj, S. (2021). A comprehensive study on learning styles, e-learning model and tools. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education, 12(10), 2504-2510. https://doi.org/10.17762/TURCOMAT.V12I10. 4862
- [36] Santoso, H., Hadi Putra, P. O., & Farras Hendra, F. (2021). Development & evaluation of e-learning module based on visual and global preferences using a user-centered design approach. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), 16(15). https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v16i15.24163
- [37] Sihombing, J. H., Laksitowening, K. A., & Darwiyanto, E. (2020). Personalized e-learning content based on Felder-Silverman learning style model. In 2020 8th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology (ICoICT) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

Volume-5 Issue-2 || March 2025 || PP. 303-310

https://doi.org/10.1109/ICoICT49345.2020.916 6452

- [38] Tanjga, J. (2023). E-learning: A review of current practices and future directions. International Journal of Learning Technology. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJLT.2023.10041530
- [39] Tunmibi, S., Aregbesola, A., & Asani, E. (2015). The impact of e-learning and digitalization in primary and secondary schools. Journal of Education and Practice, 6(17), 53-58. https://consensus.app/papers/impact-elearning-digitalization-primary-secondary-tunmibi/fc4b32eb58245f7c867c45c168ea6995
- [40] Ucar, D., & Yilmaz, S. (2023). Pre-service science teachers' e-learning styles. Journal of Baltic Science Education. https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/23.22.167
- [41] Valverde-Berrocoso, J., Garrido-Arroyo, M. C., Burgos-Videla, C., & Morales-Cevallos, M. B. (2020). Trends in educational research about elearning: A systematic literature review (2009– 2018). Sustainability, 12(12), 5153. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12125153
- [42] Wen, J., & Lin, Z. (2008). Ways and means of employing technology in e-learning systems. Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange, 1(1), 33-46. https://consensus.app/papers/ways-meansemploying-technology-elearning-systemswen/4687393b8b285df283f94e263b240082
- [43] Wong, K. S., & Sixl-Daniell, K. (2015). A case study in corporate e-learning. Corporate Training and Development Journal, 26(4), 45-60. https://consensus.app/papers/case-study-corporate-elearning-
- [44] wong/add64c081df8516780895ff1d616382d
 [44] Xie, X., & Xue, W. (2022). A study of elearning style. In 2022 IEEE 6th Information

https://doi.org/10.55544/ijrah.5.2.41

Technology and Mechatronics Engineering Conference (ITOEC) (pp. 1563-1571). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ITOEC53115.2022.973 4717

- [45] Xie, X., & Xue, W. (2022). A measurement of online-learning style based on a modified model. In 2022 IEEE 10th Joint International Information Technology and Artificial Intelligence Conference (ITAIC) (pp. 2091-2101). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ITAIC54216.2022.9836 840
- [46] Yeap, E. Y. L., Lim, K. C., Faudzi, M. A., Mohamed Zabil, M. H., Omar, R., Selamat, A., & Krejcar, O. (2022). A systematic review on English language e-learning technologies. In 2022 IEEE International Conference on Computing (ICOCO) (pp. 380-385). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICOCO56118.2022.100 31709
- [47] Yilmaz, S., & Ucar, D. (2023). Pre-service science teachers' e-learning styles. Journal of Baltic Science Education, 22(1), 167-177. https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/23.22.167
- [48] Yu, Z., & Wang, W. (2021). A systematic review of the effectiveness of flipped classroom in K-12 science education. Educational Technology & Society, 24(1), 62-77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-09992-4
- [49] Zhang, D., Zhao, J., Zhou, L., & Nunamaker, J.
 F. (2004). Can e-learning replace classroom learning? Communications of the ACM, 47(5), 75-79. https://doi.org/10.1145/986213.986216
- [50] Zualkernan, I. A., & Allert, J. (2005). Adaptive e-learning environments for novice programmers. Computers & Education, 44(1), 33-42.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2003.11.004